

# AI Governance for Agentic Workflows

One-page public overview | Low-disclosure bounded reproduction test for candidate-loss risk

**Do not believe the claim. Test whether one agreed workflow reproduces the signal.**

## WHY THIS MATTERS


- The problem is not average AI performance alone.
- The problem is candidate loss: valuable, rare, weak-signal, or emerging candidates can be discarded too early.
- Once discarded paths become invisible, later review may never see what was lost.

## WHAT THIS TESTS

- One agreed workflow using customer-side logs or representative records.
- Whether uncertain states are being converted too early into approval, execution, rejection, or responsibility closure.
- Whether controlled hold and selective re-evaluation reduce high-value misses without unacceptable review burden.

## WHAT THIS DOES NOT REQUIRE

- No production deployment.
- No model-weight access.
- No license commitment at this stage.
- No implementation disclosure in public materials.



## PUBLIC CLAIM BOUNDARY

- This is a pre-NDA, high-level overview, not a production warranty.
- It is not a claim of uptime, general accuracy, universal safety, or zero misses.
- Source code, exact thresholds, guard conditions, seed-level details, and patent-sensitive mapping remain outside public disclosure.

## POSSIBLE NEXT STEP

- Consider a 4-8 week bounded reproduction test on one agreed workflow.
- Goal: determine whether customer-side logs reproduce a high-value candidate-loss signal.
- Result: decide whether a deeper technical review or NDA discussion is justified.